

The Future of American Innovation: Sector Innovation, Small Companies and Collaboration

Cynthia Cannady, IP*SEVA
Tokyo, February, 2017

Why I am here:

- Silicon Valley law practice, 17 years at Fenwick law firm and Apple.
- 2001-2007 UN agency, WIPO, Director
 - responsible for innovation strategy, technology licensing and patent drafting training, networks
 - Mission: “IP Assets” as an empowering tool.
- Since 2008, IP*SEVA, innovation strategy, technology contracts and IP education, concentrating on sustainable energy and environmental technology

Innovation Strategy

An **effective innovation system**

1. Addresses sectors that meet a public or market need and provides public funding for early stage research;
2. Supports a vital role for “IP asset rich ventures” (small companies);
3. Promotes collaborative development of new technologies; and

Is periodically **evaluated** in a critical, practical and truthful way.

The R-D-C Continuum



Research

Development

Commercialization

Overview of this Presentation

- Is there sector innovation policy?
- Small companies drive innovation but can they survive?
- Is collaborative development of new technologies working?

Some Active Technology Sectors in the U.S. Today

- Molecular biology
 - Gene editing (CRISPR)
 - Protein engineering (e.g. computational design)
 - Pharmaceutical applications

Some Active Technology Sectors in the U.S. Today (2)

- Energy storage
 - Batteries for EV's
 - Distributed energy
- Liquid fuels
 - Critical problem of aviation fuel
 - Biofuels (2d generation cellulose)
 - Artificial photosynthesis
- Water and toxic remediation

Some Active Technology Sectors in the U.S. Today

- Materials, synthetic polymers, crystals
 - Aviation (civilian and military)
 - Transportation
 - Buildings and lighting
 - Medical devices
 - Electronics
 - Batteries
 - Textiles

Some Active Technology Sectors in the U.S. Today

- Robotics, automation and A.I.
 - Manufacturing
 - Drones and aviation
 - Transportation (driverless cars)
 - Hazardous and contaminated areas
 - Health (e.g. DNA “Nanobots”)
 - “Quality” of life appliances (e.g. humanoid servants) (?)
 - Data management in era of big data

Challenges for American Innovation

#1: Declining and inconsistent research funding in critical technology sectors

- Flat or declining federal government funding for R&D in universities, national labs, Advanced Research Projects Agency for Energy (ARPA-e)
- Push for military funding and other sectors that may not reflect critical needs and markets over medium to long term.

Challenges for American Innovation

#2: Premature pressure on research to move quickly to development and commercialization stages +

Pressure on universities to conduct applied research, conduct sponsored research from private donors, and provide job opportunities for their students.

Challenges for American Innovation

#3: Researchers low knowledge of how to use the IP system

- What can be protected in their field (subject matter)
- When to file
- Consequence of lack of protection in foreign markets
- How to use the non-user-friendly IP system
- What you do with patents—just a check off item for VCs?
- What is an IP strategy?
- How technology contracts work? What are the different types of technology contracts?

#4: Cost for small ventures to use the IP system

- Patent drafting to filing roughly= \$US15K to \$20K. Followed by office actions over 2-3 years
 - International filing via Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) and direct filing in other countries adds about \$4000 for PCT fees, plus huge atty fees, plus expense of each national phase translation, filing, local attorneys.
 - PCT applications lapse if not completed or withdrawn and become public domain information.
 - Few links to IP professionals; no IP networks.
 - University TTOs do not usually cover these costs
- PLUS the AIA's first to file and post grant challenge provisions.**

#5: Low bargaining power of the highly innovative!

Weak contract negotiation with potential partners

- Funding shortfalls from government grants, VCs and other sources
- In green tech sectors, relative higher cost of green tech development
- Lack of patent power

Consequence: small tech ventures become service providers, not IP owners.

#6: Collaboration

Consortia

- Pre-competitive R&D Consortia
 - Important but difficult to form and operate
 - Rules are complex and require simplification
- Standards consortia
 - Risks violation of antitrust/competition law
 - Needed for interoperability of technologies but not for price fixing, output and patent abuse.
- “Roadmaps”
(e.g. SEMATECH and the ITRS International technology roadmap for semiconductors)

#6: Collaboration (2)

- Technology development collaboration contracts are complex
- Equity joint ventures are difficult to manage
- Cultural and legal differences can hamper international transactions.
- Lack of norms for understanding when collaboration is needed and how to do milestones.
- Many companies still resist open innovation
- Collaboration requires relatively equal partners

#7-Access to Information

- As more money is invested in the collection of information, there is a push to own the information itself.
- Also a push to own content (images, music, even contracts)
- Big data means big control
- This is NOT consistent with the philosophy of IP—creativity, invention. So there is a push to bend traditional legal concepts.

Evaluation Metrics for Innovation

- ❑ Big picture: GERD/GDP
 - ❑ What is the return on R&D investment?
- ❑ Filing of domestic patent applications
- ❑ Licensing data (how to get) to show how patents get used.
- ❑ Grant as a % of applications
- ❑ Direct foreign and PCT applications and grants to address international market
- ❑ % of PCT apps that lapse
- ❑ Cost data on PCT and direct filing
- ❑ IP in practice: Contract models and results

Recent Developments



Trump and Innovation

- Destruction of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)?
- Privatization of Education, Betsy DeVos
- Department of Energy and National Labs run by Texas Governor Rick Perry
- Information controls-Gag Rule
- Abolish Office of Science and Technology? and replace with “Science Advisor”

Science Advisor Candidates

➤ William Happer

- “I don’t agree with all the hysteria about climate change” –

➤ David Gelernter

- Anti-intellectualism
- Advocates putting all knowledge in a data base
- Critique of public education

Public Response

The background of the slide features a blue-tinted photograph of the Washington Monument and the National Mall. A large crowd of people is visible in the foreground, gathered for an event. The text is overlaid on this image.

MARCH FOR SCIENCE

EARTH DAY

APRIL 22, 2017

Conclusion

- Innovation **systems** are complex but important!
- Innovation at the national and company levels must be **evaluated**—is it working?
- Knowledge is **power!**
 - Who owns IP? Who controls it?
- **Free access to information** is necessary to science and innovation.
- In troubled times, can **collaboration** save us?

Arigatou gozaimasu!

Cynthia Cannady
cannady@ipseva.com

